

The *Eastern European History Review* is an international and interdisciplinary annually online and open access peer-reviewed journal about studies on Central and Eastern Europe in the Modern Age (XV–XIX). The Journal is also the expression of the Study Center CESPoM (Centro Studi sull'età dei Sobieski e della Polonia Moderna – Center Study on the Age of Sobieski and Modern Poland) of the University of Tuscia, born in 1997.

It publishes articles with significant approaches and original interpretations in all research fields concerning Central and Eastern Europe, with specific attention to the History Sciences.

This special issue of *Eastern European History Review* addresses the complex and intense history of Christian-Ottoman relations in Central and Eastern Europe during the Early Modern Period. Tracing the salient moments and facts with battles, border issues, diplomacy and intelligence, the volume – with the participation of authors from different parts of Europe and Türkiye – constitutes an international opportunity to analyze topics that continually offer new study themes.

Central and South-Eastern Europe between the Christian world and the Ottoman world: conflicts, encounters and compromises (XVI-XVIII centuries), edited by the Turkish historian Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy, is the result of research and scientific collaboration between the CESPoM Study Center and Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group (ELKH-PPKE-PTE).

Alessandro Boccolini
Director of EEHR

In copertina: Antonio Stom, *Alvise II Mocenigo a Costantinopoli*, (1710)
(Venezia, Palazzo Mocenigo)

euro 19,00

ISBN 979-12-5524-026-6



9 791255 240266 >

ISSN 2612-0402



9 772612 040002



CENTRAL AND SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE

Edited by Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy



EEHR
EASTERN EUROPEAN HISTORY REVIEW
Annually Historical Journal

n. 5/2022
Special issue

**CENTRAL AND SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE
BETWEEN THE CHRISTIAN AND OTTOMAN WORLDS:
CONFLICTS, ENCOUNTERS AND COMPROMISES
(XVI-XVIII CENTURIES)**

Edited by
Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy

Co-edited by
Katalin Nagy

Special Participation of
Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group (ELKH-PPKE-PTE)



Director Emeritus

Gaetano Platania (Università degli Studi della Tuscia)

Director

Alessandro Boccolini (Università degli Studi della Tuscia)

Scientific Board

Irena Vaišvilaitė (Ambassador of the Republic of Lithuania to UNESCO)

Matteo Sanfilippo (Università degli Studi della Tuscia)

Massimo Carlo Giannini (Università degli Studi di Teramo)

Rimvydas Petrauskas (Vilnius University)

Giordano Altarozzi (Petru Maior University of Târgu Mures)

Giovanni Pizzorusso (Università degli Studi Gabriele d'Annunzio, Chieti-Pescara)

Michaela Valente (Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza")

Cesare La Mantia (Università di Trieste)

Prokhorov Andrei (Belarusian State University of Minsk)

Olexiy Sokyrko (Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv)

Rafał Quirini-Popławski (Jagiellonian University of Kraków)

Jarosław Pietrzak (Pedagogical University of Kraków)

Marta Gołębek (Museum of King John III's Palace at Wilanów – Warsaw)

Dorota Gregorowicz (University of Silesia in Katowice)

Michał Salamonik (Södertörn University)

Language Expert

Sonia Maria Melchiorre (Università degli Studi della Tuscia)

Editorial Board

Bogdana Nosova (Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv)

Francesco Vitali (Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza")

Małgorzata Trzeciak Cygan (University of Warsaw)

Giulio Merlani (University of Caen Normandy)



Centro Studi sull'Età dei Sobieski e della Polonia Moderna
(Study Center on the Sobieski Age and of Modern Poland)

www.cespom.eu

Proprietà letteraria riservata. La riproduzione in qualsiasi forma, memorizzazione o trascrizione con qualunque mezzo (elettronico, meccanico, in fotocopia, in disco o in altro modo, compresi cinema, radio, televisione, internet) sono vietate senza l'autorizzazione scritta dell'Editore.

Eastern European History Review è una rivista on-line peer-reviewed con lettori anonimi

Chiuso il 31-12-2022

Impaginazione a cura di: *Fabiana Ceccariglia*

ISBN: 979-12-5524-026-6
ISBN ebook: 979-12-5524-011-2
ISSN: 2612-0402 006

Permalink: <http://hdl.handle.net/2067/49299>

EASTERN EUROPEAN HISTORY REVIEW
Via Santa Maria in Gradi 4 – 01100 Viterbo
www.easterneuropeanhistory.eu
eehr@unitus.it

Edizioni **SETTE CITTÀ**
Via Mazzini 87 – 01100 Viterbo
t. +39 0761 303020 – info@settecitta.eu

EASTERN EUROPEAN HISTORY REVIEW

Annually Historical Journal

n. 5/2022
Special Issue

**CENTRAL AND SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE
BETWEEN THE CHRISTIAN AND OTTOMAN WORLDS:
CONFLICTS, ENCOUNTERS AND COMPROMISES
(XVI-XVIII CENTURIES)**

Edited by
Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy

Co-edited by
Katalin Nagy

Special Partecipation of
Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group (ELKH-PPKE-PTE)



EASTERN EUROPEAN HISTORY REVIEW: LA RIVISTA

Il Comitato redazionale e scientifico è lieto di presentare al pubblico la rivista scientifica *Eastern European History Review*.

Con un carattere internazionale e interdisciplinare, una cadenza annuale e una fruibilità *open access* la rivista focalizza i propri interessi sulle dinamiche occorse nell'Europa Orientale durante tutta l'età moderna (XIV-XIX). *Eastern European History Review* è espressione del Centro Studi dell'Università della Tuscia CESPoM (Centro Studi sull'età dei Sobieski e della Polonia Moderna) nato nel 1997 per intuizione del Prof. Gaetano Platania, Direttore Emerito della Rivista.

L'iniziativa editoriale che presentiamo nasce dall'evidente mancanza in Italia di una rivista scientifica relativa alla storia dell'Europa centro-orientale in Età Moderna, nonostante la penisola abbia giocato un ruolo fondamentale per la Storia e la Cultura di una parte integrante del continente, a torto considerata come lontana e periferica.

Consapevoli di questo, il Comitato ha posto quale obiettivo primario della *Eastern European History Review* quello di offrire uno spazio di riflessione e di discussione su temi che appartengono alla storia dell'Europa centro-orientale, e insieme alle relazioni - politiche e culturali - che questa vasta area del Vecchio Continente ha avuto con l'occidente d'Europa, e l'Italia in particolare, incoraggiando il dialogo tra studiosi e esperti di settore, e tra differenti approcci della ricerca scientifica.

Il Comitato Redazionale e Scientifico

EASTERN EUROPEAN HISTORY REVIEW: THE JOURNAL

The Editorial and Scientific Board are proud delighted to present the *Eastern European History Review* under the aegis of Sette Città Editore.

The *Eastern European History Review* is an international and interdisciplinary annually online and open access peer-reviewed journal about studies on Central and Eastern Europe in the Modern Age (XIV-XIX). The Journal is also the expression of the Study Center CESPoM (Centro Studi sull'età dei Sobieski e della Polonia Moderna – Center Study on the Age of Sobieski and Modern Poland) of the University of Tuscia, born in 1997, from an idea of Prof. Gaetano Platania, today Director Emeritus of this journal.

It publishes articles with significant approaches and original interpretations in all research fields concerning Central and Eastern Europe, with specific attention to the History sciences.

The editorial initiative we present comes from the obvious lack of a journal, in Italy, concerning the history of Central and Eastern Europe during the Modern Age, this despite its fundamental role in the history and culture of that part of the continent, wrongly considered distant and peripheral.

Quite the contrary is true, in fact. Main objective of the journal is to create a space for reflection and discussion on topics pertaining to Central and Eastern Europe, but also relations with Continental Europe, encouraging dialogue between scholars and experts in the field, and between different approaches of scientific research.

The Editorial and Scientific Board

SUMMARY

Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy <i>Introduction</i>	9
Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group (ELKH-PPKE-PTE) <i>Presentation</i>	13
Katalin Nagy, Giulio Merlani <i>Gregorio XIII e l'Europa orientale: la visita apostolica del vescovo di Stagno Bonifacio de Stefani</i>	13
Florin Nicolae Ardelean <i>Contested Borderlands: war and territorial disputes between Transylvania and the Ottoman Empire (1594-1595)</i>	29
Gabriel-Felician Croitoru <i>The borders between Wallachia and the Giurgiu Kaza, an area of conflict and cooperation on the Imperial Ottoman Danube limes</i>	43
Tamás Kruppa <i>The written and oral disputes of István Szántó (Arator)</i>	59
Gábor Nemes <i>Instead of Anti-Ottoman help an Anti-Imperial League papal nuncio Giovanni Francesco Capi's mission of 1526</i>	71
Adrian Magina <i>"Nos Christiani qui habitamus in hac urbe Temesuar". Christians and Ottomans in Timișoara in the second half of 16th century</i>	83
Livia Magina <i>"Novitates de turcis scribit": spies and news about ottomans on borders of Transylvania and Southern parts up to 1526</i>	97
Valentin Cseh <i>The collapse of the border fortress system against the Ottoman Empire between 1521 and 1527</i>	111

Tamás Fedeles	
<i>From the army of King Matthias to the service of God.</i>	
<i>A case study on the role of the apostolic penitentiary in the promotions of clerics in the time of King Matthias Corvinus</i>	139
Viktor Kanász	
<i>Nuncio Girolamo Martinengo's reports on the military events of 1552</i>	161
Ágnes Szalai	
<i>Conflicts of joint sovereignty on the border of the Principality of Transylvania and the Ottoman Empire in the second half of the 17th century</i>	179
Piotr Chmiel	
<i>A third way. An attempt to reorient history of early modern Venetian/Italian connections with the Ottoman Empire and its borderlands</i>	199
Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy	
<i>Events that took place in the relations between the Ottoman Empire and the Republic of Venice: from the Cretan War (1645-1669) to the Alliance of 1684 according to Ottoman and Venetian sources</i>	215
Harun Tuncer	
<i>"News in papers are not that much credible!". Abdulhamid I and the efforts to follow the European press</i>	231
VARIA SECTION	
Michał Hirsch	
<i>The role of cardinal protectors of the Polish Crown in embassies of obedience in the 16th century – preparations for missions, ceremonies and diplomacy</i>	251
Bibliocard	
Csák Krisztina Csilla	
<i>I Barberini e l'Europa</i> , Acta Barberiana, vol. 5, edited by Tusor Péter and Boccolini, Alessandro. Viterbo: Sette Città, 2022.	277

INTRODUCTION

The advance of the Ottoman Empire in Europe in the Modern Age posed a threat to many European states. The conquest of Constantinople (later İstanbul) during the reign of Sultan Mehmed II (the Conqueror) in the XV century, followed by the Otranto expedition and siege, the Ottoman advance in Central Europe during the reign of Sultan Suleyman I in the XVI century, particularly the Siege of Vienna, as well as the successes of Chief Admiral Barbaros Hayreddin Pasha in the Mediterranean and many other similar events generated a sense of anxiety and fear in Europe for centuries. As a matter of fact, the phrase *Mamma li Turchi!*, used by Italians even today in response to negative events, dates back to this historical Turkish threat and the negative feeling it generated, which has its roots in the XV and XVI centuries.

It is rather challenging to cover such a broad subject as the relations between the Ottoman Empire and European states in the Modern Age, especially between the 16th and 18th centuries, in an introduction. However, in order to understand the dynamics between the Ottoman Empire and the Christian world, it would be useful to go back a little further and give a brief overview of how the parties viewed each other as an introduction to the subject. Starting from the Late Middle Ages until the middle of the Modern Age, the East and the West, in other words, the two worlds comprised mostly of Muslims and Christians, were in constant interaction with each other in a relationship that alternated between wars and trade. However, the fear became a dominant emotion in this intense and dynamic relationship from the XV century onwards. Although the world order changed due to geographical discoveries, the main region where the conflict of control and domination took place was the Mediterranean and Central-Eastern Europe. On the one hand, there was the Western Christian world, which had entered a new era with the Thirty Years' War and the Peace of Westphalia, and on the other was the Ottoman Empire, which had conquered Byzantium and its culture. From another perspective, it can be deduced that the conflict between these two sides evolved from a clash of "civilizations" to a clash of "powers" and gained a stronger political character at least since the XVI century¹. At this point, it is necessary to underline the differences between Western historiography and Ottoman historiography. For a long time, contrary to what Western historiography suggests, the Ottoman Empire did not carry out its conquests with the aim of forcibly converting the peoples of the conquered regions to Islam, however mainly aimed to expand the borders of the Empire². The distinguished Turkish historian Professor Halil İnalcık provided in his works important information on Ottoman conquest policy. For example, how the Ottomans conquered the Christian Balkans and managed to establish themselves there for so long remain a historical question even today. According to the old theory, Ottoman rule was manifested by force and persecution and lasted

1 Franco Cardini, *Il Turco a Vienna. Storia del grande assedio del 1683* (Roma-Bari: Laterza, 2011), 4.

2 Marko Jacov, *L'Europa tra conquiste ottomane e leghe sante* (Città del Vaticano: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana), 255.

as a regime of exploitation under the control of a military class of Sipahi³. However, according to the current historical analysis derived from research based on Ottoman archive documents, the Ottoman Empire was able to persuade the local Christian populations to peace and reconciliation thanks to the principles of *istimalet*⁴ and *aman* which meant looking out for the people, especially non-Muslim subjects, and treating them with clemency. The Ottoman Empire always offered the opponent three chances to surrender, and if the offer was accepted, a sworn “ahdname”⁵ guaranteed the opponent’s security of life and property. These guarantees were rigorously implemented, and many conquests were accomplished by this way. In fact, speaking about the Balkans again, it is known that Orthodox Greeks and Slavs often viewed the Ottoman regime as a protector of their Church. In addition, one of the main reasons for the long-lasting Ottoman rule in the conquered lands was the Ottoman tax-land system. Unlike the old feudal system, this system guaranteed the peasant’s land use through the *mîrî* land (State owned land that was transferred to those who use the right of disposition) regime⁶.

The Ottomans have been called “Turks” by the Western world for centuries. In the Modern Age, fear for the “Turk” has manifested as activities and efforts of “marginalization”, “vilification” and therefore “disparagement”. Turks have been the main representative of the “other” for Europeans for centuries, from the very beginning of Muslim-Christian contact⁷. However, the Ottoman Empire was not only a source of fear for the Western world, but also a source of great curiosity and fascination. In the West, most literary scientific works were written against the Ottomans, who were described as “*infidel*”. Thus, for centuries, the Ottomans were not only unlikeable and feared by Europeans, but also appealing and attractive. In addition to being “foreigners” for historical and geographical reasons, their traditions became the focus of interest for researchers and scholars as they were

-
- 3 The most distinguished group of the household cavalry troops in the Ottoman military system. Erhan Afyoncu, “Sipahi”, *DİA*, 37 (2009): 256-58.
 - 4 The dictionary meaning of “*istimâlet*” is «to predispose, to appeal, to win the heart». In Ottoman chronicles it meant: «to look after the people, especially non-Muslim subjects, and be lenient towards them». Treating the people of conquered places well, protecting them, ensuring the security of life and property against external enemies, granting freedom in religious matters, facilitating taxation are the main elements of Ottoman *istimâlet*. See: Mücteba İlgürel, “İstimalet”, *DİA*, 27 (2001): 362.
 - 5 A document issued by the order of the rulers to grant special rights to certain states, groups and individuals. See: Mübahat S. Kütükoğlu “Ahidname”, *DİA*, 1 (1988): 536-40.
 - 6 Halil İncalcık, *Doğu Batı, Makaleler II* (Ankara: Doğu Batı Yayınları, 2008), 248, 96-110. On Ottoman provincial administration and the fief system, see also Halil İncalcık, *Osmanlı İmparatorluğu Klasik Çağ (1300-1600)*, trans. Ruşen Sezer (İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Yayınları, 1995), 108-23. The work was first published in English. See Halil İncalcık, *The Ottoman Empire, Classical Age (1300-1600)* (London: Nicholson Publishing, 1973).
 - 7 Mustafa Soykut, *Papalık ve Venedik Belgelerinde Avrupa’nın Birliği ve Osmanlı Devleti (1453-1683)* (İstanbul: İstanbul Bilgi Üniversitesi Yayınları, 2007), 17, 24.

close or neighbors. In short, many works depicting the Ottomans and providing information about them appeared in the XVI and XVII centuries⁸. In many of these works, when Western historiography tried to explain the success of Ottoman progress, the concepts such as “religious fanaticism”, “oppression”, “persecution” were used, contrary to the information given above⁹.

Today it is still evident that such images persist in Western historiography. However a significant effort in consulting Ottoman and Turkish sources by historians would lead to a more objective perspective on the complex relationships between the Ottoman and Christian worlds.

The issue of Eastern European History Review titled *Central and South-Eastern Europe between the Christian and Ottoman Worlds: conflicts, encounters and compromises (XVI-XVIII centuries)*, contains 14 articles on the topic. This issue presents articles by researchers from different countries such as Italy, Hungary, Romania, Poland and Türkiye and was prepared in collaboration with *Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group* (ELKH-PPKE-PTE).

I extend my sincere appreciation to the director of EEHR, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Alessandro Boccolini for offering me the special editorship of this issue of the journal.

Dr. PhD. Canan Parmaksızoğlu Aksoy
National Defense University, Türkiye

8 Giovanni Ricci, *Ossessione turca. In una retrovia cristiana dell'Europa moderna* (Bologna: Il Mulino, 2002), 41; Soykut, *Papalık ve Venedik Belgelerinde*, 19-24.

9 İnalçık, *Doğu Batı, Makaleler II*, 248.

THE VILMOS FRANKÓI VATICAN HISTORICAL RESEARCH GROUP (ELKH-PPKE-PTE)

The *Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group* of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and the Péter Pázmány Catholic University was established in the June of 2012 as the 'Impetus' Church History Research Group in the frame of the Church History Research Institute of the University founded by Rector Péter Erdő in 1999. It carries on independently the latter's publications (*Bibliotheca Historiae Ecclesiasticae Universitatis Catholicae de Petro Pázmány Nuncupatae*) and researches in Rome and in Vienna, with the involvement of academic and other funding sources. From 1st July 2017 onwards it performs its activity within the framework of the Supported Research Places Program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences visualizing its goals and professional identity also in its name. The official name from 2022: ELKH-PPKE-PTE *Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group*.

Its examinations concentrate decisively on political, diplomatic, cultural and institutional history. Its priority task is the systematic publication and processing of sources that has been heavily neglected since the age of positivism. The Vatican collections serve as the primary place of research, completed by the relating material – primarily in thematic context – of the Hungarian and Vienna archives and manuscript collections. Its publications provide space to the results of revealing of sources and historiographic works according to their scholarly profile (*Collectanea Textuum et Studiorum*), and separately to the Hungarian researches in the Vatican due to its particular importance (*Collectanea Vaticana Hungariae*). The long-term aim of the research institute is to serve as the professional framework of the establishment and efficient operation of an independent Hungarian institute of history in Rome of purely scientific nature. Owing to its academic background it pays special attention to the education of the future historian generation, to the engagement of students in certain phases of its work.

The main international cooperative partners are: Università della Tuscia (CESPoM-Centro Studi sull'Età dei Sobieski e della Polonia Moderna), Universität Wien (Institut für Historische Theologie), Deutsches Historisches Institut in Rom, Università Pontificia Gregoriana (Facoltà di Storia e Beni Culturali della Chiesa), Archivio Apostolico Vaticano. The relevant common publications are published by Edizioni Setta Città and Duncker & Humblot

More info at: www.institutumfraknoi.hu



**INSTEAD OF ANTI-OTTOMAN HELP AN ANTI-IMPERIAL LEAGUE
PAPAL NUNCIO GIOVANNI FRANCESCO CAPI'S
MISSION OF 1526¹**

ABSTRACT

Pope Clement VII was informed about Suleiman the Magnificent's plans to occupy Buda from the reports of the nuncios. The pope sent letters to the monarchs of the Christian world on 9 February 1526 to urge a prompt aid to the Kingdom of Hungary, since it was threatened by a huge Ottoman attack. As there were further grim reports from Buda, Clement VII planned to send an extraordinary nuncio, whose main duty would have been to inform the European courts about the Ottoman campaign that threatened Hungary. At first, he chose the Florentine Paolo Vettori, the captain of the papal navy, for the role; however, he died soon after his departure. Therefore, the experienced papal diplomat, Giovanni Francesco (Capino) de'Capi was appointed. Capeno de' Capi set off in March 1526 to France, where he entered negotiations with Francis I to form an ally against the emperor. On 22 May 1526 the League of Cognac was signed by the Clement, Francis, Venice, and Milan. Consequently, Hungary's case of an anti-Ottoman campaign was rather for the sake of covering secret diplomatic negotiations.

KEYWORDS: Papal Diplomacy; Hungarian-Ottoman War; League of Cognac; Crusade; French Royal Court

The papacy's foreign policy had focused on the fight against the Turks since the middle of the 15th century. The Holy See not only helped Hungary directly with diplomatic tools or financial support. Reconciling the Christian princes and establishing the opportunity of a coordinated attack against the Ottoman Empire was also a primary aspect of shaping the relations systems of the European states². These diplomatic efforts of the Pope were further impelled when the Turks occupied Belgrade in 1521, which played a key role in protecting Hungary's southern border³.

- 1 It was prepared in the ELKH-PPKE-PTE Vilmos Fraknói Vatican Historical Research Group. Special thanks to Judit Szűtné Gősi for the translation. Bálint Lakatos assisted in writing the paper, hereby I should say thanks for his remarks and advice.
- 2 Vilmos Fraknói, *Magyarország egyházi és politikai összeköttetései a római szentszékkal*, vol. II (Budapest: Szent István Társulat, 1902); Kenneth M. Setton, *The Papacy and the Levant (1204-1571)*, voll. I-III (Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society, 1976-1984).
- 3 Setton, *The Papacy and the Levant*, vol. III, 198-200; Attila Bárány, "A Humanist Diplomat in Early 16th Century Hungary: Hieronymus Balbus", *Acta Neerlandica*.

However, the peace between the Christian princes seemed really distant during these years. Although since the Peace of Lodi of 1454 the most powerful states of the peninsula, i.e. Venice, Milan, Florence, the Papal States and the Neapolitan and the Sicilian Kingdoms, lived in a relative peace while paying particular attention to the balance of power⁴, this was broken by the Italian campaign of the French king Charles VIII in 1494. A new age began in Italy: warfare for more than half a century and a period of short-lived, ever changing allies serving often only momentary interests⁵.

On 8 May 1521 Emperor Charles V, English king Henry VIII and Pope Leo X formed an alliance against the French king Francis I and the Republic of Venice⁶. In the beginning, the French were defeated in the fights: first, Odet de Foix had to give up Milan⁷, and then the allied army led by Prospero Colonna beat the French-Venetian armed forces in the Battle of Bicocca on 27 April 1522⁸. The French – after the Venetians abandoned them upon making a separate peace with the emperor in July 1523⁹ – made a desperate counterattack in Lombardy in the autumn of 1523 but in spite of the initial successes they were beaten again by the imperial troops led by Charles de Lannoy in the Battle of Sesia on 30 April 1524¹⁰. After the summer counterattack of the imperials in Provence, King Francis I stood up personally to lead the French armies. The French army arrived in Lombardy in October 1524, reoccupied Milan and laid siege to Pavia to indicate its further conquest wills¹¹.

The quick French victories encouraged Pope Clement VII to take steps and he – primarily on the advice of his Francophile Florentine counsellors, i.e. Francesco Guicciardini, Jacopo Salviati and Roberto Acciaiuoli – concluded a secret agreement with King Francis I. According to this if the Pope guarantees free passage to the

Bijdragen tot de Neerlandistiek Debrecen, 15 (2019): 11-48.

- 4 Maurizio Gattoni, *Sisto IV, Innocenzo VIII e la geopolitica dello Stato Pontificio (1474-1492)*, (Roma: Edizioni Studium, 2010).
- 5 Christine Shaw and Michael Mallett, *The Italian Wars 1494-1559. War, State and Society in Early Modern Europe*, 2° ed. (Abingdon-New York: Routledge, 2019), 6-160.
- 6 Maurizio Gattoni, *Leone X e la geo-politica dello Stato pontificio (1513-1521)* (Città del Vaticano: Archivio Segreto Vaticano, 2000), 235-39; Shaw and Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 162.
- 7 Shaw and Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 163-64.
- 8 Shaw and Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 165.
- 9 Maurizio Gattoni, *Clemente VII e la geo-politica dello Stato pontificio (1523-1534)*, (Città del Vaticano: Archivio Segreto Vaticano, 2002), 31-6; Shaw, Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 168.
- 10 Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 56-8; Shaw and Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 169-70.
- 11 Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 79-80; Shaw and Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 170-72.

French troops to Naples, the Papal States can acquire Parma and Piacenza¹². However, the diplomacy of the Holy See made a mistake. On 24 February 1525 Emperor Charles V defeated the French army in Pavia, even King Francis I was captured¹³. Afterwards, the Pope was only able to renege on the secret agreement with the French to the side of the emperor through awkward explanations and they renewed the alliance on 1 April 1525¹⁴.

On 14 January 1526 Charles V and Francis I signed the Treaty of Madrid, which would fundamentally have reshaped the power map of Europe. The agreement between the emperor, who won the Battle of Pavia, and the captured French king, who was in a vulnerable situation during the peace talks, did not prove to be longlasting. The French king, who was released on 6 March, declared the peace void immediately after stepping on French soil and looked for new allies against the Habsburg Emperor, who was at the peak of his power at the time¹⁵.

Meanwhile, the Ottoman Empire was preparing against Hungary again. Because of Giovanni Antonio Burgio's report (the Apostolic Nuncio sent to the Hungarian royal court) on 18 January 1526¹⁶ – according to which Suleiman sultan was planning to occupy Buda with a giant army – Pope Clement VII invited six older cardinals to discussion and they agreed to write to the princes and nuncios about the Turkish danger threatening Hungary¹⁷. So the Holy See's diplomacy found itself at a crossroads: they either prevent the excessive growth of the emperor's power, or establish peace between the Christian princes in favour of a campaign against the Ottoman Empire¹⁸.

On 9 February 1526 Pope Clement VII sent a letter to the emperor and his brother, Ferdinand, Archduke of Austria, to Henry VIII King of England and Cardinal Wolsey¹⁹, to John III King of Portugal, as well as to Queen Louise of Savoy, who was in charge that time instead of Francis I King of France. He emphasized that Hungary is threatened by a huge Turkish danger and they should provide help as soon as possible²⁰.

12 Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 82-93.

13 Shaw and Mallett, *The Italian Wars*, 172-74.

14 Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 94-106.

15 Robert J. Knecht, "Francis I in Aquitaine: A British View"; Id., *Francis I and Sixteenth-Century France*, (London-New York: Routledge, 2015), XII, 6-8.

16 Vilmos Fraknói, ed., *Magyarországi pápai követek jelentései 1524-1526* (Budapest: kiadja a Szent-István-Társulat, 1884), n. 78, 303-07.

17 Campeggio reported on this to Cardinal Wolsey on 8 February 1526. John Sherren Brewer, ed., *Letters and Papers, Foreign and Domestic, of the reign of Henry VIII*, vol. IV/1 (London: Logman & Co., 1870), n. 1959.

18 Cardinal Campeggio reports on this dilemma to Cardinal Wolsey. Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2098.

19 On 27 February 1526 Cardinal Campeggio specifically asked Wolsey to convince King Henry VIII to help Hungary. Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 1998.

20 Gábor Nemes, ed., *Brevia Clementina. VII. Kelemen pápa magyar vonatkozású*

Since Nuncio Burgio sent further threatening reports²¹, Pope Clement VII was planning to send a special nuncio, whose main task would have been to report on the Ottoman attack threatening Hungary in the European courts. Initially, he chose the Florentine Paolo Vettori²², the captain of the Papal Navy. However, Vettori set off vainly, he got sick in Florence soon after his departure and died unexpectedly²³. As a result, Giovanni Francesco (also called Capino) de' Capi²⁴ was chosen, who had just returned from the peace talks in Madrid to Rome on 1 February, and had been the nuncio of Mantova in the court of Charles V for years²⁵. However, the majority of the recommendation letters issued to the nuncio were addressed to the influential majesties of the French court – to King Francis I and his mother, Queen Louise of Savoy, to Princess Marguerite d'Angouleme, to Antoine Duprat French Grand Chancellor, to cardinals Jean de Lorraine and François-Louis de Bourbon – and to the generals of the French king – to Anne de Montmorency²⁶, to Odet de Foix, to Charles (IV) de Bourbon and to Philippe de Chabot²⁷. Besides, recommendation letters were prepared for the visit to the

brévée (1523-1526) (Budapest-Győr-Róma: Gondolat, 2015), 134-45. The answer of Archduke Ferdinand, King Henry VIII and Cardinal Wolsey (4 March 1526, 16 April) see Augustin Theiner, *Vetera monumenta historica Hungariam sacram illustrantia*, vol. II (Roma: Typis Vaticanis, 1860), 661-63, 669-70.

- 21 Fraknói, *Magyarországi pápai követek*, 320-23, n. 83.
- 22 Alberto Guglielmotti, *La guerra dei pirati e la marina pontificia dal 1500 al 1560*, I (Florence: Successori le Monnier, 1876) 121-270; Valentina Lepri, "Vettori, Paolo", in *Machiavelli. Enciclopedia machiavelliana*, vol. III, ed. Gennaro Sasso (Roma: Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana, 2014), 670-71; Marcello Simonetta, "Vettori, Paolo", in *DBI*, vol. 99 (2020) [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/paolo-vettori_%28Dizionario-Biografico%29/].
- 23 Francesco Vettori, *Scritti storici e politici*, ed. Enrico Niccolini, (Bari: Gius. Laterza & figli, 1972), 222-23, 344; Rawdon Brawn, ed., *Calendar of State Papers Relating To English Affairs in the Archives of Venice*, vol. III: 1520-1526 (London: Logmans, Green & Co., 1869), n. 1226; Pascual de Gayangos, ed., *Calendar of State Papers, Spain*, vol. III/1: 1525-1526 (London: Logman & Co., 1873), n. 356; Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 134. The Pope wrote a letter of condolence to Paolo's brother Francesco Vettori, the Florentine diplomat known as a close friend of Niccolò Machiavelli on 8 March 1526, see AAV, Arm. XL, vol. 10, fol. 137r-v, n. 167.
- 24 To his life see Tiziano Ascari, "Capi, Giovan Francesco", in *DBI*, vol. 18 (1975) [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/giovan-francesco-capi_%28Dizionario-Biografico%29/; Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 133, 37. j].
- 25 Ascari, "Capi".
- 26 On 1 March 1526 Datarius Giovanni Matteo Giberti wrote a separate letter to him that Capi would be sent instead of Vettori, Joseph Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France. Nonciatures de Clement VII*, t. I: *Depuis la bataille de Pavie jusqu'au rappel d'Acciaiuoli* (Paris: Alphonse Picard et fils, 1906), 7.
- 27 Nemes, *Brevia Clementina*, 146-50. The further letters issued together with these for the French royal couple and to Queen Mother Louise of Savoy see AAV, Arm. XLIV, vol. 9, fol. 433r-35v, published: Petrus Balan, ed., *Monumenta*

imperial (Charles V²⁸, Neapolitan Viceroy Charles de Lannoy, winning general of the Battle of Pavia and Cardinal Legate Giovanni Salviati), to the English (Henry VIII and Cardinal Thomas Wolsey), as well as to the Portuguese royal courts (John III King of Portugal)²⁹.

Capino de' Capi set off in the beginning of March and headed straight to Bayonne³⁰ to greet King Francis I, who was released from his capture³¹. However, Capi travelled to Saint-Sever via Lyon³², where he met the ruler, who set off in the meantime, and he escorted him to Bordeaux via Mont-de-Marsan³³. After having participated in the ceremonial entry³⁴, Capi, together with the Venetian nuncio Andrea Rosso, started secret discussions with the king immediately, but instead of the matter of the letter of recommendation, i.e. providing help to Hungary, the topic was making a covenant against the Emperor Charles V³⁵.

In the meantime, both Luis Fernández de Córdoba, the Prince of Sessa and envoy of Emperor Charles V³⁶ and Miguel de Herrera, attorney of the embassy got suspicious

saeculi XVI. historiam illustrantia, vol. I: *Clementis VII. epistolae per Sadoletum scriptae* (Oeniponte: Libreria Academica Wagneriana, 1885), 220-21.; Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, vol. I, 7; Luigi Nanni, ed., *Epistolae ad principes*, vol. I: 1513-1565 (Città del Vaticano: Archivio Segreto Vaticano, 1992), n. 1379-381.

- 28 The emperor mentions in a letter to his brother Ferdinand dated on 30 March that the brief had arrived to him, see Wilhelm Bauer, *Die Korrespondenz Ferdinands I*, vol. I: *Familienkorrespondenz bis 1526* (Wien: Adolf Holzhausen, 1912), 378.
- 29 Nemes, *Brevia Clementina*, 146-48; Gábor Nemes, "The Relations of the Holy See and Hungary under the pontificate of Clement VII (1523-1526)", in *The Jagiellonians in Europe: Dynastic Diplomacy and Foreign Relations*, ed. Bárány Attila (Debrecen: Hungary in Medieval Europe Research Group, 2016), 180. Meanwhile the Pope sent Uberto Gambara to England, so that he can involve King Henry in establishing the anti-imperial league. About his assignment as nuncio (25-26 Feb 1526) see AAV, Arm. XL, vol. 11, fol. 103rv, 105rv, 109rv; AAV, Arm. XLIV, vol. 9, fol. 438r, 439r; Balan, *Monumenta saeculi XVI*, 218-19; Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 1992-998. His mailing with Capi and Acciaiuoli see Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, in some places. To his life see Giampiero Brunelli, "Gambara, Uberto", in DBI, vol. 52 (1999) [[https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/uberto-gambara_\(Dizionario-Biografico\)](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/uberto-gambara_(Dizionario-Biografico))].
- 30 King Francis I arrived to Bayonne on 17 March 1526. Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2032.
- 31 Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2068.
- 32 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 7-8.
- 33 On 8 April Capi is already in Bordeaux. Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 8-9.
- 34 Capi was walking between the Grandmaster of Rhodes and Charles de Bourbon on the ceremonial entry, Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1243, 1245.
- 35 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 10.
- 36 Miguel Á. Ochoa Brun, *Historia de la diplomacia española*, vol. V: *La diplomacia de Carlos V* (Madrid: Ministerio de Asuntos Exteriores, 2003), 107-08.

in Rome. They both informed the emperor about their assumption that Capi's delegation was most likely about more than just helping Hungary's fight against the Turks. Moreover, the Prince of Sessa also believed that Vettori died because of the burden of the task he had been assigned to³⁷. Lope de Soria, the Genoese envoy of the emperor wrote similarly: according to him the papal nuncios not only had to report on the Turkish preparations but also had to convince the French king to break the Treaty of Madrid³⁸. The fact that helping Hungary was not mentioned at all when Pope Clement VII reported on the discussion between Nuncio Capi and King Francis I to Prince Sessa, proved this, as well³⁹.

After the entourage of Francis had moved its seat to Cognac at the end of April 1526, things moved ahead rapidly, and on 1 May Capi and Rosso had a long discussion with the French ruler about the details of the anti-imperial league⁴⁰. There were more reasons to hurry. On the one hand, Pope Clement VII was afraid of losing Milan and Cremona under siege because of the longlasting negotiations⁴¹. On the other hand, it was also feared that the imperial diplomacy might persuade King Francis to desist from making an alliance⁴². The appearance of the Neapolitan viceroy Charles de Lannoy, the winning general of the Battle of Pavia, who was sent as an envoy by Emperor Charles V, did not only cause concern for the papal and the Venetian but also for the English diplomats⁴³. In order to calm things down, the English-French alliance, which was made under the reign of Louise of Savoy, was ceremonially confirmed on 10 May. This, however, triggered Lannoy's disapproval⁴⁴. During the following days the discussions made good progress and the drafting of the league's treaty, in which Capino de' Capi and Andrea Rosso took key role, was started, as well⁴⁵. Viceroy Charles de Lannoy – who was convinced that the French king did not want to keep the Treaty of Madrid – left on 18 May⁴⁶. Afterwards, the League of Cognac was made on 22 May 1526 upon clarifying a few moot points⁴⁷.

37 Gayangos, *Calendar of State Papers*, III/1, n. 363-64.

38 Gayangos, *Calendar of State Papers*, III/1, n. 366. It is however important to highlight that breaking the peace treaty was not only an idea of the papal diplomacy. Cardinal Wolsey encouraged Queen Louise of Savoy to the same himself. Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2036.

39 Gayangos, *Calendar of State Papers*, III/1, n. 395.

40 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 16; Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1265; Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2135-2136.

41 Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, nn. 1164, 1171, 1185, 1249, 1270, 1272, 1280, 1281, 1286, 1287; Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, XLVIII.

42 Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2148.

43 Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, nn. 1270, 1276.

44 Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1273.

45 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 17-20; Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1272.

46 Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2185-2186.

47 Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1284-1285; Gayangos, *Calendar of State*

among the allying parties⁴⁸. The surviving copies about the discussions mentioned Capi's official purpose, i.e. supporting Hungary's fight against the Turks, only once. Even then he talked about it to the French king very briefly – as Capi admits it to Umberto Gambarà, the papal nuncio sent to Henry VIII – in order to avoid causing confusion in the discussions about the league⁴⁹.

In the beginning, there was a kind of distrust towards Capino de' Capi from the French and English diplomacy. Partially, because he was not a papal but a Mantuan subject and partially because he was an imperial envoy⁵⁰. Therefore, Clement VII sent another nuncio, Roberto Acciaiuoli in April 1526, to get involved in the discussions based on the instructions of Francesco Guicciardini, the Pope's chief adviser⁵¹. Nevertheless, an aim similar to Capi's was named in his official assignment bull, i.e. the peace between the Christian princes and the war to be started against the Turks in Hungary, as well as on the Mediterranean Sea⁵². Eventually, Acciaiuoli missed the discussions because he was still in Lyon on 23 May⁵³.

After Pope Clement VII had ratified the draft of the league⁵⁴, Capi was replaced by Acciaiuoli, who arrived at the French court on 9 June 1526 that was already seated in Angoulême⁵⁵. His new task was to recruit 10.000 mercenaries in Switzerland, but instead of for Hungary's fight against the Turks, for the league. Capi – after participating on the ceremonial proclamation of the league⁵⁶ – set off on 29 June⁵⁷.

Papers, III/1, n. 431.

- 48 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 26-8; Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1289-1291, 1300; Riccardo Predelli, ed., *I Libri Commemoriali della Repubblica di Venezia. Registri*, vol. VI (Venezia: a spese delle società, 1903), 183-85. Setton, *The Papacy and the Levant*, III, 241-42; Gattoni, *Clemente VII*, 137, 339-46; Knecht, "Francis I in Aquitaine", 9-10.
- 49 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 22-4.
- 50 About this see the report of Gregorio Casale, the Roman envoy of the English king, Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2058.
- 51 To his life see Guido Verucci, "Acciaiuoli, Roberto", in DBI, vol. 1, (1960) [[https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/roberto-acciaiuoli_\(Dizionario-Biografico\)](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/roberto-acciaiuoli_(Dizionario-Biografico))]. Piero e Luigi Guicciardini, eds., *Opere inedite di Francesco Guicciardini*, vol. IV (Firenze: Presso M. Cellini e comp., 1863), 1-5; Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 11-2.
- 52 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 12-5; Predelli, *I Libri Commemoriali*, 183.
- 53 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 24-9.
- 54 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 32, 44; Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1311, 1320; Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2257; Predelli, *I Libri Commemoriali*, 186.
- 55 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 33-42.
- 56 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 51-2; Brown, *Calendar of State Papers*, III, n. 1322.
- 57 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 45-58, 415-16; Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2288.

In the end, his mission was crowned by success: he arrived to Bergamo with 8300 Swiss soldiers on 17 August⁵⁸.

In the meantime, Hungary got in a more and more difficult situation and King Louis II would have needed external support more than ever. Because of the worrying news about the Ottoman attack Louis II wrote a letter to the Pope on 24 March⁵⁹, which was read aloud by Cardinal Innocenzo Cibo on the papal consistory on 20 April. In the end, the Pope and the College of Cardinals decided to call in all the envoys staying in Rome so that they inform their rulers about the danger threatening Hungary and ask for their help⁶⁰. Regardless of the decision, Pope Clement VII sent a letter to the French king, Francis I, the Portuguese king, John III and to the English king, Henry VIII, as well as to Cardinal Wolsey on 23 April and asked for prompt financial help for the Hungarians⁶¹. The Pope emphasized that together with the cardinals they offered 50.000 ducats for the protection of Hungary⁶².

By that time tensions had already run high in the relationship between the emperor and the Pope. Charles V wrote in his letter to his brother Archduke Ferdinand that he was getting so many letters from the Pope about the Turkish danger threatening Hungary that he had not even known if he should believe it or not⁶³. Besides, the emperor wrote to Luis Fernández de Córdoba, his envoy in Rome, that as long as the Pope would not allow him to gather the incomes of the Holy Year's Farewell in Spain – allocated to the crusade –, he would not support the Hungarian king and shifted any further responsibility onto the Pope⁶⁴.

The Ottoman danger was mentioned on the papal consistory more and more often in the following months: Cardinals Innocenzo Cibo and Paolo Emiliano Cesi read

58 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 81-4; Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2465.

59 Edgár Artner, *Magyarország mint a nyugati keresztény művelődés védőbástyája*, eds. Kornél Szovák, József Török and Péter Tusor (Budapest-Rome: Gondolat, 2004), 176-78. To this see also the reports of Burgio, Fraknoi, *Magyarországi pápai követek*, 343-51, n. 88, and the letter of Brodarics István Petrus Kasza, ed., *Stephanus Brodericus: Epistulae* (Budapest: Argumentum, 2012), 138-39.

60 Péter Tusor and Gábor Nemes, eds., *Consistorialia Documenta Pontificia de Regnis Sacrae Coronae Hungariae (1426-1605)* (Budapest-Rome: Gondolat, 2011), n. 65. Girolamo Ghinucci, the Bishop of Worcester reported about the same to Cardinal Wolsey, see Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2124, and Luis Fernández de Córdoba to Emperor Charles V Gayangos, *Calendar of State Papers*, III/1, n. 395.

61 Nemes, *Brevia Clementina*, 157-62. The letter of King Louis II to the Pope, the letter of Archduke Ferdinand dated on 24 March and the report of Burgio dated on 27 March were certainly attached to these briefs, see Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2048, 2050, 2056.

62 Fraknoi, *Magyarország egyházi*, 301. The offerings of each cardinal see Artner, *Magyarország*, n. 156.

63 Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2051.

64 Gayangos, *Calendar of State Papers*, III/1, n. 401.

aloud here the reports of Nuncio Burgio and there the letters of King Louis II⁶⁵. Cardinal Cesi read out the letter of Pál Tomori, Archbishop of Kalocsa, sent to King Louis on the session of the Papal College on 27 July. According to this the Turkish army had already reached the river Sava, which was regarded as the Southern border of Hungary⁶⁶. On 30 July these news urged the Pope to write letters for help again: He asked the French king Francis I, the English king Henry VIII, the Portuguese king John III and Ferdinand, the Archduke of Austria to send money or weapons to Hungary urgently⁶⁷.

Unfortunately, the Pope's letters did not have much impact. The Portuguese king John sent some money⁶⁸, but his envoy was captured as he entered French soil at Bayonne and was held hostage until the end of September⁶⁹. The envoy of Henry VIII, Sir Thomas Wallop set off in August 1526, he received the news about the heavy defeat of the Hungarian army and about the death of the Hungarian king along the way⁷⁰.

In 1526 Pope Clement VII got in a tight situation. While Italy and within it the Papal States were threatened by the remarkably increased power of the Emperor Charles V, the Ottoman Empire set off against the Christian world with a huge army. It was convenient for the Papal diplomacy in this situation to cover the secret discussions of the anti-imperial league with Hungary's anti-Ottoman fight. Although Capino de' Capi was not looking for help for Hungary, the Pope cannot be accused of abandoning the Hungarian Kingdom, as he supported the fights not only with money but also with grain and weapons⁷¹. On 29 August 1526 Suleiman

65 About the consistories see Tusor and Nemes, *Consistorialia Documenta Pontificia*, n. 66-74. Burgio's reports on the Turkish attacks: Fraknói, *Magyarországi pápai követek*, 370-422, n. 95-113. The letters of King Louis: Artner, *Magyarország*, n. 137-38, 140-41.

66 Tusor and Nemes, *Consistorialia Documenta Pontificia*, n. 75. The letter of Pál Tomori see AAV, A.A. I-XVIII. n. 6522, fol. 85r-86v, published: Fraknói Vilmos, "Tomori Pál kiadatlan levelei", *Magyar Történelmi Társulat*, 30 (1882): 92-5. Its copy sent to the English king Henry VIII, see Brewer, *Letters and Papers*, IV/1, n. 2301.

67 Nemes, *Brevia Clementina*, 165-68.

68 The answer of the Portugese king on 16 June see Luis Augusto Rebello da Silva, ed., *Corpo diplomatico portuguez contendo os actos e relações politicas e diplomaticas de Portugal com as diversas potencias do mundo desde o seculo XVI até os nossos dias*, vol. II (Lisboa: Tipographia de Academia Real Das Sciencias, 1865), 268-70; Artner, *Magyarország*, n. 139.

69 Fraikin, *Nonciatures de France*, I, 81-4, 116-18.

70 György Kurucz, "Érdekek és előítéletek. A brit diplomácia és Magyarország a 18. század végéig", *Századok*, 129 (1995): 260-61.; Richárd Botlik, "Henry VIII and the New Hungarian Political Élite in 1526-1527. The Anglo-Hungarian Relationship After the Battle of Mohács", *Publicationes Universitatis Miskolcensis. Sectio Philosophica*, 12/2 (2007): 129; Attila Bárány, *Magyarország nyugati külpolitikája (1458-1526). Angol-magyar kapcsolatok Mátyás és a Jagellók korában* (PhD diss., Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 2014), 523-28.

71 Nemes, *The Relations*, 182.

sultan inflicted a stunning defeat on the Hungarian army, and *Sacco di Roma* meant the failure of the papal diplomacy in 1527.

ABBREVIATIONS

AAV: Archivio Apostolico Vaticano

DBI: Dizionario Biografico degli Italiani

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Artner Edgár. *Magyarország mint a nyugati keresztény művelődés védőbástyája* [Hungary as the bulwark of the Western Christian culture], eds. Szovák Kornél, Török József and Tusor Péter. Budapest-Rome: Gondolat, 2004.
- Ascari, Tiziano. "Capi, Giovan Francesco". In DBI, vol. 18 (1975) [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/giovan-francesco-capi_%28Dizionario-Biografico%29/ (downloaded: 14.01.2022.)]
- Balan, Petrus, ed. *Monumenta saeculi XVI. historiam illustrantia*, vol. I: *Clementis VII. epistolae per Sadoletum scriptae*. Oeniponte: Libreria Academica Wagneriana, 1885.
- Bárány, Attila. *Magyarország nyugati külpolitikája (1458–1526). Angol-magyar kapcsolatok Mátyás és a Jagellók korában* [Hungary's western foreign policy (1458-1526). English-Hungarian relationships in the times of Matthias and the Jagellos]. PhD. diss., Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 2014.
- Bárány, Attila. "A Humanist Diplomat in Early 16th Century Hungary: Hieronymus Balbus". *Acta Neerlandica. Bijdragen tot de Neerlandistiek Debrecen*, 15 (2019): 11-48.
- Bauer, Wilhelm. *Die Korrespondenz Ferdinands I*, vol. I: *Familienkorrespondenz bis 1526*. Wien: Adolf Holzhausen, 1912.
- Botlik, Richárd. "Henry VIII and the New Hungarian Political Élite in 1526-1527. The Anglo-Hungarian Relationship After the Battle of Mohács". *Publicationes Universitatis Miskolcensis. Sectio Philosophica*, 12/2 (2007): 123-45.
- Brewer, John Sherren, ed. *Letters and Papers, Foreign and Domestic, of the reign of Henry VIII*, vol. IV/1. London: Logman & Co., 1870.
- Brown, Rawdon, ed. *Calendar of State Papers Relating To English Affairs in the Archives of Venice*, vol. III: 1520-1526. London: Logmans, Green and Co., 1869.
- Brunelli, Giampiero. "Gambara, Uberto". In DBI, vol. 52 (1999) [[https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/uberto-gambara_\(Dizionario-Biografico\)](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/uberto-gambara_(Dizionario-Biografico)) (downloaded: 11.01.2022.)].
- Fraikin, Joseph. *Nonciatures de France. Nonciatures de Clement VII*, t. I: *Depuis la bataille de Pavie jusqu'au rappel d'Acciaiuoli*. Paris: Alphonse Picard et fils, 1906.
- Fraknói, Vilmos, ed. *Magyarországi pápai követek jelentései 1524-1526* [Reports of Papal nuncios from Hungary between 1524 and 1526]. Budapest: kiadja a Szent-István-Társulat, 1884.
- Fraknói, Vilmos. "Tomori Pál kiadatlan levelei" [The unpublished letters of Pál Tomori]. *Magyar Történelmi Tár*, 30 (1882): 78-95.
- Fraknói, Vilmos. *Magyarország egyházi és politikai összeköttetései a római szentszékekkel* [Hungary's ecclesiastical and political relations with the Roman Holy See], vol. II. Budapest: Szent István Társulat, 1902.
- Gattoni, Maurizio. *Clemente VII e la geo-politica dello Stato pontificio (1523-1534)*. Città del Vaticano: Archivio Segreto Vaticano, 2002.
- Gattoni, Maurizio. *Leone X e la geo-politica dello Stato pontificio (1513-1521)*. Città del

- Vaticano: Archivio Segreto Vaticano, 2000.
- Gattoni, Maurizio. *Sisto IV, Innocenzo VIII e la geopolitica dello Stato Pontificio (1474-1492)*. Roma: Edizioni Studium, 2010.
- Gayangos, Pascual de, ed., *Calendar of State Papers, Spain*, vol. III/1: 1525-1526. London: Logman & Co., 1873.
- Guglielmotti, Alberto. *La guerra dei pirati e la marina pontificia dal 1500 al 1560*. vol. I. Firenze: Successori le Monnier, 1876.
- Kasza, Petrus, ed. *Stephanus Brodericus: Epistulae*. Budapest: Argumentum, 2012.
- Kurucz, György. “Érdekek és előítéletek. A brit diplomácia és Magyarország a 18. század végéig” [Interests and prejudices. The British diplomacy and Hungary until the end of the 18th century]. *Századok*, 129 (1995): 253-84.
- Lepri, Valentina. “Vettori, Paolo”. In *Machiavelli. Enciclopedia machiavelliana*. vol. III, edited by Gennaro Sasso, 670-71. Roma: Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana, 2014.
- Nanni, Luigi, ed. *Epistolae ad principes*, vol. I: 1513-1565. Città del Vaticano: Archivio Segreto Vaticano, 1992.
- Nemes, Gábor, ed. *Brevia Clementina. VII. Kelemen pápa magyar vonatkozású brevői (1523-1526)* [Hungarian related briefs of Pope Clement VII]. Budapest-Győr-Róma: Gondolat, 2015.
- Nemes, Gábor. “The Relations of the Holy See and Hungary under the pontificate of Clement VII (1523–1526)”. In *The Jagiellonians in Europe: Dynastic Diplomacy and Foreign Relations*, edited by Bárány Attila, 171-82. Debrecen: Hungary in Medieval Europe Research Group, 2016.
- Ochoa Brun, Miguel Ángel. *Historia de la diplomacia española*, vol. V: *La diplomacia de Carlos V*. Madrid: Ministerio de Asuntos Exteriores, 2003.
- Predelli, Riccardo, ed., *I Libri Commemorativi della Repubblica di Venezia. Registri*. vol. VI. Venezia: a spese delle società, 1903.
- Setton, Kenneth M. *The Papacy and the Levant (1204-1571)*, voll. I-III. Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society 1976-1984.
- Shaw Christine, and Michael Mallett. *The Italian Wars 1494-1559. War, State and Society in Early Modern Europe*. 2^o ed. Abingdon-New York: Routledge, 2019.
- Silva, Luis Augusto Rebello da, ed. *Corpo diplomatico portuguez contendo os actos e relações politicas e diplomaticas de Portugal com as diversas potencias do mundo desde o seculo XVI até os nossos dias*. vol. II. Lisboa: Tipographia de Academia Real Das Sciencias, 1865.
- Simonetta, Marcello. “Vettori, Paolo”. In DBI, vol 99 (2020) [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/paolo-vettori_%28Dizionario-Biografico%29/] (downloaded: 14.01.2022)].
- Theiner, Augustin. *Vetera monumenta historica Hungariam sacram illustrantia*, vol. II. Roma: Typis Vaticanis, 1860.
- Tusor Péter, and Nemes Gábor, eds. *Consistorialia Documenta Pontificia de Regnis Sacrae Coronae Hungariae (1426-1605)*. Budapest-Rome: Gondolat, 2011.
- Verucci, Guido. “Acciaiuoli, Roberto”. In DBI, vol 1 (1960) [[https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/roberto-acciaiuoli_\(Dizionario-Biografico\)](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/roberto-acciaiuoli_(Dizionario-Biografico))] (downloaded: 15.01.2022)].
- Vettori, Francesco. *Scritti storici e politici*. Bari: Gius. Laterza & figli, 1972.